

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">181</p> <p>1 could be construed to ask for confidential privileged 2 communications, but anything on the Floor, you may 3 testify about. 4 A. I don't remember how he responded to those 5 particular complaints on the Floor specifically. 6 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did he conduct any 7 analyses, or did you conduct any analysis at his request 8 on any of these issues? 9 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 10 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 11 A. I'll assert. 12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) You'll assert the 13 privilege? 14 A. Yes, ma'am. 15 Q. What were the circumstances by which the 16 license to carry a concealed handgun were included in SB 17 14? 18 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the grounds of 19 legislative privilege, to the extent it seeks thoughts, 20 mental impressions or confidential communications among 21 legislators or staff or agencies. To the extent you can 22 answer without revealing any of that, you may. 23 A. It was added as an amendment by Senator 24 Hinojosa. 25 Q. Do you know the racial composition of license</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">183</p> <p>1 Q. Was there any discussion expressing concern 2 that this form of ID might disproportionately favor 3 white voters? 4 MR. FREDERICK: Same objection, 5 legislative privilege, only to the extent it seeks 6 confidential communications, but to the extent that 7 there were. 8 A. Not that I know of. 9 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) How did the exception for 10 individuals with disabilities come to be included in SB 11 14? 12 MR. FREDERICK: Object on legislative 13 privilege, only to the extent it seeks confidential 14 communications and thought processing and mental 15 impressions. To the extent it seeks information about 16 the legislative process or testimony, you may answer. 17 A. Senator Patrick offered an amendment, and 18 Senator Fraser accepted it. 19 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the purpose of 20 this provision? 21 MR. FREDERICK: Object to the legislative 22 privilege. I instruct you not to answer. 23 A. I think that the record -- Senator Patrick 24 probably on the record said why he wanted to include 25 it. I don't recall what he said.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">182</p> <p>1 to carry license holders? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Is it disproportionately white relative to 4 Texas registered voters? 5 A. I do not know. 6 Q. Are you aware of whether any staff or 7 legislator investigated the racial composition of 8 license to carry holders? 9 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object only to the 10 extent that it seeks the substance or nature of the 11 investigations, whether or not you were aware, you may 12 answer. 13 A. I am not aware. 14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was there any discussion 15 expressing concern that this form of identification 16 might disproportionately favor white voters? 17 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the grounds of 18 legislative privilege, to the extent there was 19 discussion on the Floor and committee on the record, you 20 may answer. 21 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Are you asserting 22 privilege? 23 A. Well, Senator Hinojosa offered the amendment, 24 so I think -- I don't -- I can't speak to his research 25 on the bill or on his amendment.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">184</p> <p>1 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was this amendment in 2 response to concerns by any particular groups or 3 constituencies? 4 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the basis of 5 legislative privilege. To the extent there's -- you 6 have any nonprivileged basis to answer, you may. 7 A. I think that -- well, again, I don't want to 8 speak for Senator Patrick because I -- I don't want to 9 speak for Senator Patrick. But there was an individual 10 that testified on the Floor during the Senate committee 11 debate that was fairly moving, and I think Senator 12 Patrick wanted to do something to help him. 13 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the general nature 14 of that testimony? 15 A. I don't know. I didn't listen to it. 16 Q. Was there any testimony on the Floor related to 17 difficulties other individuals might have obtaining 18 identification? 19 A. I don't know. I didn't listen to the 20 testimony. 21 Q. What -- what was your -- your role during the 22 Floor consideration of SB 14? 23 MR. FREDERICK: I would just caution you 24 not to reveal any confidential communications or mental 25 impressions or thought process, but as to your -- the</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">185</p> <p>1 process, you may answer.</p> <p>2 A. It was the same as what we had testified</p> <p>3 earlier, I think, on the Senate bill 362, and I sat next</p> <p>4 to the Senator and helped him with his debate and</p> <p>5 providing him information that he asked me to provide</p> <p>6 him during the debate.</p> <p>7 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) So you were on the Floor</p> <p>8 with him during the debate?</p> <p>9 A. During the Committee of the Whole, yes, ma'am.</p> <p>10 Q. And when you say this individual testified with</p> <p>11 moving testimony that potentially led Senator Patrick to</p> <p>12 include this exemption, was that during the Committee of</p> <p>13 the Whole?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>15 Q. How did the exception for individuals with</p> <p>16 religious objections to being photographed come to be</p> <p>17 included in SB 14?</p> <p>18 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object only to the</p> <p>19 extent that it seeks confidential communications,</p> <p>20 thought processes or mental impressions. But to the</p> <p>21 extent it seeks the process or public discussion, you</p> <p>22 may answer.</p> <p>23 A. I'll assert privilege. I don't -- yeah.</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: I mean, just -- I want to</p> <p>25 be clear with you. I'm not instructing her not to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">187</p> <p>1 Q. Was that something that you looked into while</p> <p>2 developing SB 14?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>4 Q. And were the costs, in particular, something</p> <p>5 that you looked into while developing SB 14?</p> <p>6 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the grounds of</p> <p>7 legislative privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>8 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>9 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) If there are costs to</p> <p>10 obtaining underlying documentation for the election</p> <p>11 identification certificate, is it fair to say the</p> <p>12 election identification certificate is not actually</p> <p>13 free?</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: Object to the form of the</p> <p>15 question. Object, it calls for speculation. And object</p> <p>16 to relevance. If you -- if you have an opinion, you may</p> <p>17 answer.</p> <p>18 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Do you have an opinion?</p> <p>19 A. I do not.</p> <p>20 Q. You have no opinion on that?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know which documents are required to</p> <p>22 get an ID at this point. I don't remember. And so I</p> <p>23 don't recall why people wouldn't or would not have</p> <p>24 them. So my opinion, I mean, I'd have to go back and</p> <p>25 kind of look.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">186</p> <p>1 answer about when it was introduced or how it became</p> <p>2 part of the bill. And so I think if you want to probe</p> <p>3 that further, I'm not objecting to that part.</p> <p>4 MS. MARANZANO: Okay.</p> <p>5 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was that provision added in</p> <p>6 response to any particular concern, Ms. McCoy?</p> <p>7 MR. FREDERICK: I'll just -- only to the</p> <p>8 extent it seeks mental impressions, communications, but</p> <p>9 if there's testimony, Floor testimony, and if you know,</p> <p>10 you may answer.</p> <p>11 A. There was not Floor testimony on that issue.</p> <p>12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) During the drafting of the</p> <p>13 legislator's consideration of SB 14, was there analysis</p> <p>14 of the cost that a voter would need to take -- the cost</p> <p>15 or the steps the voter would need to take to obtain an</p> <p>16 election identification certificate?</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p> <p>18 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>19 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>20 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What documents are needed</p> <p>21 to obtain an election identification certificate?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Was that something that you -- I'm sorry. You</p> <p>24 can finish your answer.</p> <p>25 A. I used to know, but I don't know now.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">188</p> <p>1 Q. If -- if you were to determine that there were</p> <p>2 costs to obtaining those underlying documentations for</p> <p>3 an individual who did not have them --</p> <p>4 A. Right.</p> <p>5 Q. -- would you say it would be fair to</p> <p>6 characterize the election identification certificate as</p> <p>7 free?</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: Objection to the form of</p> <p>9 the question. You can answer if you can.</p> <p>10 A. I suppose not.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Do you know when driver's</p> <p>12 license offices are open in Texas?</p> <p>13 A. I do not.</p> <p>14 Q. Does SB 14 require employers to provide paid</p> <p>15 leave to obtain an ID?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Do some individuals in Texas live at least 50</p> <p>18 miles from a driver's license office?</p> <p>19 A. I think there was testimony to that effect,</p> <p>20 yes.</p> <p>21 Q. How much does gas cost in Texas?</p> <p>22 A. Texas is a big state. It varies. I think in</p> <p>23 Austin right now it's 3.55 a gallon.</p> <p>24 Q. So how much would it cost for somebody to drive</p> <p>25 a hundred miles round trip?</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">189</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for 2 speculation.</p> <p>3 A. Depends on their car, how they drive.</p> <p>4 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Do you know whether any 5 racial or ethnic group is more likely than not have the 6 necessary ID to obtain the election identification 7 certificate?</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object only to the 9 time extent that this can be construed to seek 10 information related to the development of SB 11 14. Insofar as you can answer based on your knowledge, 12 sitting here today, you may answer.</p> <p>13 A. Can you repeat the question?</p> <p>14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Is there any racial or 15 ethnic group that is more likely to not possess the 16 underlying documentation needed to obtain election 17 identification certificate?</p> <p>18 A. I do not know.</p> <p>19 Q. Is that something that you ever looked into 20 during the development of SB 14?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 22 privilege. I instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>23 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>24 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did you ever look into or 25 analyze who among registered voters would be -- might</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">191</p> <p>1 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did the Senator seek legal 2 advice from anybody about to ensure that Senate Bill 14 3 would comply with Section 5?</p> <p>4 MR. FREDERICK: I'm going to object on the 5 grounds that it seeks information within the attorney- 6 client privilege. I think the fact that he might have 7 sought some legal would not itself be privileged, but 8 the question -- the question entails the substance of 9 the advice that he may or may not have sought, so I 10 object on that ground.</p> <p>11 MS. MARANZANO: And you're instructing 12 Ms. McCoy not to answer?</p> <p>13 MR. FREDERICK: Yes. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Are you going to follow 15 your counsel's advice?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>17 Q. Was the passage of SB 14 a priority for Senator 18 Fraser?</p> <p>19 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on legislative 20 privilege and instruct you not to answer as to the 21 extent it calls for confidential communications, but to 22 the extent it's in a public statement or testimony, you 23 can answer.</p> <p>24 A. I think the answer is he consistently told his 25 constituents that he thought voter ID needed to be</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">190</p> <p>1 not have the forms of identification included in SB 14?</p> <p>2 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 3 privilege. I instruct you not to answer, except to the 4 extent you can do so based on nonconfidential matters or 5 nonprivileged matters.</p> <p>6 A. The specific question again was?</p> <p>7 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did you ever research or 8 analyze who among registered voters in Texas would be 9 more likely or less likely to have the required forms of 10 identification under SB 14?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. No, you never looked into that?</p> <p>13 A. That is correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Why not?</p> <p>15 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 16 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>17 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>18 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Would you agree that that 19 might be a relevant inquiry pursuant to Section 5?</p> <p>20 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for 21 speculation. Objection, calls for a legal opinion. You 22 can answer if you can.</p> <p>23 A. As I testified earlier, I didn't really 24 consider Section 5 when I was helping the Senator 25 develop this bill.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">192</p> <p>1 passed.</p> <p>2 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did his constituents ask 3 for him to pass some sort of voter ID law?</p> <p>4 MR. FREDERICK: We'll object to the extent 5 that seeks -- to the extent it seeks the substance of 6 any particular constituent communication. If you can 7 answer based on nonconfidential communications from 8 constituents, you may answer.</p> <p>9 A. I assert the privilege.</p> <p>10 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was passage of SB 14 a 11 priority for Governor Perry?</p> <p>12 A. He declared it an emergency. I can't speak 13 further than that.</p> <p>14 Q. Was passage of the SB 14 a priority for any 15 interest groups that you're aware of?</p> <p>16 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</p> <p>17 Q. What was the purpose of SB 14?</p> <p>18 MR. FREDERICK: I'm just going to give a 19 cautionary instruction. You may testify about the 20 purpose of SB 14 to the extent you know. I would only 21 caution you not to reveal the substance of the 22 confidential communications between yourself and the 23 legislature or any legislative thought process or mental 24 impressions. But as to the purpose of the bill, you may 25 testify.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">193</p> <p>1 A. Senator Fraser consistently said on the record 2 that his purpose was to stop in-person voter fraud. 3 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Were there any additional 4 purposes to SB 14? 5 A. Election integrity. 6 Q. What does that mean? 7 A. It means -- it means that elections are valid 8 and true and there isn't fraud. 9 Q. Any other purposes? 10 A. (Witness shakes head no.) No. I'm sorry. 11 Q. Thank you. Were there any purposes of SB 14 12 that were not stated on the public record? 13 MR. FREDERICK: Object, legislative 14 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 15 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Are you following your 16 counsel's advice? 17 A. I am. 18 Q. Was any part of the purpose of SB 14 to 19 decrease the number of Hispanic voters? 20 MR. FREDERICK: Object, legislative 21 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 22 A. I'll assert privilege. 23 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was any part of the purpose 24 of SB 14 to decrease the number of any group of minority 25 voters?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">195</p> <p>1 nonlegitimate voter? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Who are the main opponents of SB 14? 4 A. I think the Senate Democrats, Democrat 5 members. I think the Texas Democratic party. 6 Q. Did any minority members of the Senate support 7 SB 14? 8 MR. FREDERICK: Object on legislative 9 privilege, only to the extent that support or opposition 10 was expressed confidentially by a legislator or staff 11 member to you. 12 A. No minority senator voted for the bill. 13 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did any groups representing 14 minority voters support SB 14? 15 MR. FREDERICK: Same cautionary 16 instruction. You may answer to the extent that you know 17 from public statements or the legislative record, but 18 caution you not to reveal any privileged matter 19 communicated to you by a constituent. 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did the Senator consider 22 any alternative legislative measures that would have 23 deterred voter fraud? 24 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 25 privilege, and instruct you not to answer.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">194</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 2 privilege. I'll instruct you not to answer. 3 A. I'll assert. 4 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was any part of the purpose 5 of SB 14 to discriminate in any way against any group of 6 minority voters? 7 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 8 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 9 A. Assert the privilege. 10 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was any part of the purpose 11 of SB 14 for partisan purposes? 12 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 13 privilege. I'll instruct you not to answer. 14 A. Assert the privilege. 15 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did the purpose of photo ID 16 in Texas evolve over time in legislative sessions? 17 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the basis of 18 legislative privilege. Instruct you not to answer 19 unless you can do so based on public statements or 20 testimony. 21 A. Senator Fraser's stated purpose has always been 22 to be prevent in-person voter fraud across the sessions. 23 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Have you ever heard of a 24 voter who decides not to vote on election day because 25 they're worried their vote will be diluted by a</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">196</p> <p>1 A. I'll assert. 2 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the Senator's 3 strategy to ensure that Senate Bill 14 was passed? 4 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 5 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 6 A. I'll assert. 7 (Exhibit 38 marked for identification.) 8 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, I'm showing you 9 what we marked as Deposition Exhibit 38. Do you 10 recognize what this -- what this is? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is it? 13 A. It's the Senate Rules Adopted by the 82nd 14 Legislature. 15 Q. And this, what I've given you is actually the 16 table of contents and some portion of the rules. It's 17 not the entire set of Senate rules. Can you look at the 18 table of contents and tell me what procedural rules are 19 implicated by the consideration of SB 14, what 20 procedural rules were applied to SB 14's consideration? 21 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, vague. You can 22 answer if you can. 23 A. I'm not sure. 24 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Oh, you know what, that 25 looks like it's highlighted.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">197</p> <p>1 A. It is highlighted. Is that the one you want? 2 MS. MARANZANO: Why don't we mark a clean 3 one for the record. 4 MR. FREDERICK: Here, that one's got a 5 mark on it. I've got a clean one right here. 6 MS. MARANZANO: Thank you. 7 MR. FREDERICK: Here's your highlighted 8 one. 9 (Clean copy Exhibit 38 exchanged for 10 highlighted copy originally marked.) 11 A. I don't -- 12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) If you look -- let's look 13 at Rule 5.11. 14 A. Yes, ma'am. 15 Q. Subsection D of 5.11. Is that the same 16 provision that we talked about earlier that was included 17 in the 2009 rules that carves out voter identification 18 requirements from the two-thirds rule? 19 A. Yes, ma'am. 20 Q. And can you look at Rule 16 for me? 21 You can scratch looking at Rule 16. 22 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't get 23 that. 24 MS. MARANZANO: I said she could scratch 25 reviewing Rule 16.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">199</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Object based on 2 legislative privilege, to the extent it calls for 3 substance of any communication or your thought process 4 or Senator Fraser's thought process. However, to the 5 extent you can answer without revealing that, you may 6 describe your preparation. 7 A. Typically, when we do floor prep for Senate 8 Bill 14 or any bill, we put together a bill book, which 9 includes the bill, the bill analysis, the fiscal notes, 10 any supporting documentation and talking points. And 11 that's what I did for Senate Bill 14. 12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) And do you write the 13 talking points? 14 A. Yes. Or -- not for every bill. I did for 15 Senate Bill 14. 16 Q. And is the bill analysis what we've previously 17 discussed, a section-by-section analysis of the bill? 18 A. Yes, ma'am. 19 Q. And do you write that? 20 A. No, ma'am. 21 Q. Who does? 22 A. Those are prepared through the committee 23 process, and I think the Senate Research Center prepares 24 those. 25 Q. Are you familiar with this Floor testimony on</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">198</p> <p>1 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Can you look at Rule 12.03 2 about conference committees? 3 A. Yes, ma'am. 4 Q. And do you see that Section 4 states -- well, 5 can you read Section 4 for me and tell me what your 6 understanding of that subsection of the rule is? 7 A. "Add text on any matter which is not included 8 in either the House or Senate version of the bill or 9 resolution." 10 Q. What's your understanding of what this rule 11 does? 12 A. That rule says that when a bill is in 13 conference committee, they -- the conference committee 14 should not consider including provisions that weren't in 15 either of the House or Senate passed versions. 16 Q. How many times have you seen that section of 17 the rule disregarded while you've worked for Senator 18 Fraser? 19 A. Probably happens 20 to 30 times a session. 20 Q. What type of bills does that happen on? 21 A. All types. 22 Q. Was SB 14 considered by any -- you know what, 23 scratch that. I think we've talked about that already. 24 What did you do to ensure that Senator 25 Fraser was prepared for Floor consideration of SB 14?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">200</p> <p>1 SB 14? 2 A. Generally. 3 Q. And I believe you testified earlier you were 4 with the Senator, correct? 5 A. For some of it. I don't -- I think I got up 6 and left for part of it. Actually, I know I got up and 7 left for part of it. 8 Q. During the Floor consideration of SB 14, did 9 Senator Fraser answer any questions about SB 14 by 10 saying he was not advised? 11 A. Can we be clear when we're talking about Floor 12 consideration, Committee of the Whole consideration 13 or Floor? Specifically when we talk about the Floor, 14 it's when they're actually in session on the Floor. The 15 Committee of the Whole meets on the senate Floor, just 16 because there's no other place for them to meet. 17 So during the Committee of the Whole 18 debate, there were times that Senator Fraser said "I'm 19 not advised." 20 Q. What does that mean, "I'm not advised"?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: Object, based on 22 legislative privilege, only to the extent it asks for 23 Senator Fraser's thought process or mental impressions. 24 To the extent you can answer from your own personal 25 knowledge of what "I'm not advised" means, you may.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">201</p> <p>1 A. Typically, when a senator says that, it means 2 they don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) About how many questions 4 did he answer by saying "I'm not advised"?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Can you approximate?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Would you say it was hundreds?</p> <p>9 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>10 Q. Isn't it part of the Senator's role as author 11 of the bill to answer questions about the bill?</p> <p>12 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, argumentative. 13 You may answer.</p> <p>14 A. The Senator offered his colleagues that he 15 didn't know the answer to some questions and that 16 resource and expert witnesses were going to testify 17 later during the committee, and those questions would be 18 better addressed to those resource expert witnesses.</p> <p>19 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did anyone instruct Senator 20 Fraser not to answer substantive questions about SB 14 21 during the Committee of the Whole?</p> <p>22 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 23 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>24 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) During -- during the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">203</p> <p>1 Q. Was that testimony that you would consider to 2 be important?</p> <p>3 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, vague. You may 4 answer.</p> <p>5 A. To some people, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) How about to you?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Why not?</p> <p>9 A. I just work for the Senator. I don't --</p> <p>10 Q. Well, part of your job in working for the 11 Senator is giving him advice on this bill, is it not?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And so the impact of this bill on minority 14 voters is a relevant consideration, is it not?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. So I'm just wondering why that wasn't an 17 important testimony to you.</p> <p>18 MR. FREDERICK: Objet to the extent it 19 mischaracterizes the testimony, but you may answer if 20 you can.</p> <p>21 A. I think that the position that the Senator had 22 relative to this bill wasn't going to change because of 23 testimony we heard in January of 2011, because we heard 24 the same testimony in January of 2009, and we heard the 25 same testimony in May of '07.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">202</p> <p>1 Committee of the Whole's consideration of SB 14, did 2 anyone raise concerns about the impact of SB 14 on 3 minority voters?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. What was the Senator's response?</p> <p>6 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>7 Q. You don't recall how he responded?</p> <p>8 A. (Witness shakes head no.)</p> <p>9 Q. Did numerous senators raise concerns about the 10 impact of SB 14 on minority voters?</p> <p>11 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 12 privilege, only to the extent it calls for confidential 13 communications. Anything on the committee or on the 14 Floor, you may testify about.</p> <p>15 A. I think that 10 of the 12 Democrat senators 16 testified against the bill during the Committee of the 17 Whole. May have been 9. May have been 11. It wasn't 18 all 12.</p> <p>19 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) But my question was about 20 testimony related to concerns about the impact of SB 14 21 on minority voters. It not about --</p> <p>22 A. I don't recall what they said. I know that 23 many senators testified against the bill, but I can't 24 recall what each of them said. So I cannot answer that 25 question.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">204</p> <p>1 So the testimony is important to the 2 people that were giving it, mainly, I think, because 3 they were trying to put it on the record for you guys, 4 but it wasn't going to change my recommendations to the 5 Senator on how to proceed with this legislation.</p> <p>6 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did you take any actions 7 with regard to Senate Bill 14 in response to those 8 concerns?</p> <p>9 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 10 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>11 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>12 (Exhibit 39 marked for identification.)</p> <p>13 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) I'm showing you what we've 14 marked for the record as Deposition Exhibit 39. Can you 15 turn to the label at the bottom, Texas 00000098, and 16 look at the portion of the print?</p> <p>17 Well, let me ask you first, do you 18 recognize this document, or can you tell me what this 19 document is?</p> <p>20 A. I've never seen this document, but it is a 21 transcript of the Committee of the Whole from Tuesday, 22 January 25, 2011.</p> <p>23 Q. Can you look at the transcript Page 168. And 24 do you see that there's a question posed by Senator West 25 as to whether the forms of identification that are</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">205</p> <p>1 listed in the bill are the least restrictive options in 2 order to achieve the goal of avoiding what you have said 3 is voter identification fraud?</p> <p>4 A. Uh-huh. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And can you look at Senator Fraser's answer to 6 that?</p> <p>7 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>8 Q. Is that a topic that you looked into prior to 9 introducing Senate Bill 14 of whether this was the least 10 restrictive way to achieve the Senator's goal?</p> <p>11 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 12 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>13 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Can you tell me anything 15 about that topic based on the comments that were made in 16 public during the Committee of the Whole, Ms. McCoy?</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: Object to the form, but 18 you may answer.</p> <p>19 A. Well, I can speak to what Senator Fraser said. 20 The Secretary of State had provided us information, 21 which he referenced here, showing that people -- 91 22 percent of voters registered with their driver's license 23 under HAVA.</p> <p>24 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) 90 percent of the -- of 25 voter registration applicants registered with their</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">207</p> <p>1 the top? Actually, the quote starts -- I'm sorry. The 2 quote starts on Page 188 from Senator Fraser. If you 3 can just take a look at that.</p> <p>4 Does that appear to be saying that there 5 are very small differences between Texas's bill and 6 Indiana and Georgia's bill?</p> <p>7 A. That does what it seems to be saying.</p> <p>8 Q. Are you familiar with the Indiana and Georgia 9 photo identification requirement?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Didn't you testify earlier that you had looked 12 at those two states when you were developing SB 14 362?</p> <p>13 A. We looked at voter turnout from those two 14 states. I never looked at the legislation.</p> <p>15 Q. So, Ms. McCoy, you've never looked at either 16 Indiana or Georgia's photo identification requirements?</p> <p>17 A. I might have printed out a copy of Indiana's, 18 and I might have seen a table that included the 19 different IDs that allowed under every state's photo ID 20 law, but I've never looked at Indiana or Georgia's 21 legislation in depth, no.</p> <p>22 Q. So do you have any position on whether the 23 Indiana and Georgia identification requirements are 24 similar to SB 14?</p> <p>25 A. I do not have a position, no.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">206</p> <p>1 driver's license number?</p> <p>2 A. Under the HAVA requirement.</p> <p>3 Q. And do you know when HAVA started requiring 4 people to write down their driver's license number?</p> <p>5 A. Texas passed its version of HAVA in 2005, so I 6 would assume it took a while to make sure -- it started 7 probably that fall of 2005 or 2006. Sometimes we start 8 things in January of the next year.</p> <p>9 Q. And did anybody ever -- or did you or the 10 Senator ever conduct any analysis of who those 10 11 percent, approximately 10 percent of registered voters 12 without driver's license numbers might be and whether or 13 not they might have any other forms of identification?</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 15 privilege, and instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>16 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>17 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Okay. I'm going to have 18 this marked.</p> <p>19 (Exhibit 40 marked for identification.)</p> <p>20 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) I'm showing you what we are 21 marking as Deposition Exhibit 40, which is a transcript 22 of another transcript of the Committee of the Whole from 23 Tuesday, January 26, 2011.</p> <p>24 Can you look at the second page of this 25 document that's labeled on the transcript as Page 189 at</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">208</p> <p>1 (Exhibit 41 marked for identification.)</p> <p>2 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Okay. I'm showing you what 3 we've marked as Deposition Exhibit --</p> <p>4 MR. FREDERICK: Do you have one for me?</p> <p>5 MS. MARANZANO: Sorry. I only have one 6 copy.</p> <p>7 MS. WESTFALL: Can you look on with the 8 witness?</p> <p>9 MR. FREDERICK: Oh, yeah, yeah, I'll look 10 at this one.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, this is a 12 transcript from July 26, 2011. The Committee of the 13 Whole's consideration of SB 14. I'm going to direct you 14 to certain pages, but I want to say at the beginning, 15 this transcript has two sets of pagination. On the 16 left-hand side, it says "CD Number" and "Section 17 Number," and then it also has a page. So I will give 18 you both of those, because it has -- the pagination 19 restarts with every CD and section number.</p> <p>20 A. Okay. But I want to clarify this isn't from 21 the Committee of the Whole. This is from when the 22 Senate was actually in session as the Senate.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.</p> <p>24 A. You're welcome.</p> <p>25 Q. Can you turn to Page 34 on CD 1, Section 1?</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">209</p> <p>1 A. Hold on. Okay.</p> <p>2 Q. Were you on the Floor with the Senator during</p> <p>3 -- during the Floor consideration on January 26th of SB</p> <p>4 14?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, but --</p> <p>6 Q. Yes, but?</p> <p>7 A. Can I just clarify?</p> <p>8 Q. Yes, please.</p> <p>9 A. During the Committee of the Whole, I could</p> <p>10 actually be inside the rail right next to the Senator.</p> <p>11 When the Senate was in full session, I had to be outside</p> <p>12 the rail away from the Senator's desk.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Did you communicate with the Senator</p> <p>14 during that time?</p> <p>15 A. As much as you can if they turn around and look</p> <p>16 at you.</p> <p>17 Q. You didn't -- you didn't communicate by a</p> <p>18 Blackberry or cell phone?</p> <p>19 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Can you take a look at on Page 34, where</p> <p>21 it starts with the testimonies by Davis? Can you just</p> <p>22 take a look at that?</p> <p>23 A. I think I'm on the wrong page.</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: I think you're on Section</p> <p>25 2. Got Section 1.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">211</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Well, you may answer the</p> <p>2 question whether or not you had a role.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was your role?</p> <p>5 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object to legislative</p> <p>6 privilege, to the extent it seeks confidential</p> <p>7 communications, thought processes or mental</p> <p>8 impressions. But to the extent you can describe your</p> <p>9 role without going into that, then you may answer the</p> <p>10 question.</p> <p>11 A. I provided the Senator with some thoughts on</p> <p>12 what he could say if certain amendments that we thought</p> <p>13 were going to be introduced were introduced.</p> <p>14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) And did you provide him</p> <p>15 with a recommendation on how to vote on certain</p> <p>16 amendments?</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: Just caution you, I mean,</p> <p>18 you can answer the question as phrased, whether or not</p> <p>19 you offered a recommendation.</p> <p>20 A. I did not.</p> <p>21 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Why -- well, how would this</p> <p>22 amendment introduced by Senator Davis have interfered</p> <p>23 with the Senator's goals of deterring voter fraud?</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: Object on legislative</p> <p>25 privilege.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">210</p> <p>1 A. Okay.</p> <p>2 MR. FREDERICK: Yeah.</p> <p>3 A. Okay.</p> <p>4 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Can you just take look at</p> <p>5 that amendment which starts with Davis? And then the</p> <p>6 action that's taken on that amendment is lower on the</p> <p>7 page. Do you recall this amendment being offered?</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: Just to clarify, is</p> <p>9 this -- is it Floor Amendment 12 we're talking about?</p> <p>10 MS. MARANZANO: Yes. I think that's</p> <p>11 right.</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall, because I'm not seeing the text</p> <p>13 of the amendment.</p> <p>14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Uh-huh.</p> <p>15 A. So I don't -- I don't recall.</p> <p>16 Q. Do you recall that Senator Davis introduced an</p> <p>17 amendment to prohibit state agencies from charging fees</p> <p>18 for the issuance of any acceptable ID under SB 14?</p> <p>19 A. Not really, no.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you recall that Senator Fraser moved to</p> <p>21 table that amendment?</p> <p>22 A. I mean, I can see that he did. I don't recall</p> <p>23 this particular part of the debate.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you have any role in recommending to him</p> <p>25 how to handle amendments to SB 14?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">212</p> <p>1 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, as you're</p> <p>2 sitting here today, are you aware of any ways in which</p> <p>3 not charging for the acceptable forms of identification</p> <p>4 under SB 14 would interfere with the goal of deterring</p> <p>5 in-person voter impersonation?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Can you turn to what's labeled as CD-1, Section</p> <p>8 2, Page 28 through 30?</p> <p>9 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>10 Q. You see that this testimony is related to an</p> <p>11 amendment proposed by Senator Ellis that would have</p> <p>12 required the Secretary of State to conduct a study</p> <p>13 including information about the number of eligible</p> <p>14 voters who are prevented from voting or have to vote</p> <p>15 provisionally because they lacked an ID?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And do you recall that amendment being offered?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you provide a recommendation to Senator</p> <p>20 Fraser as to how to handle that amendment?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: I'll caution. You can</p> <p>22 answer the question as phrased, as long as you don't</p> <p>23 reveal the substance of any confidential communication.</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did Senator Fraser move to</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">213</p> <p>1 table that amendment?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And how would conducting a study about who was</p> <p>4 impacted by SB 14 have interfered with the Senator's</p> <p>5 goals of deterring in-person voter impersonation?</p> <p>6 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p> <p>7 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>8 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>9 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was Senator Fraser</p> <p>10 concerned that such a study would show that minorities</p> <p>11 are disproportionately impacted by Senate Bill 14?</p> <p>12 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p> <p>13 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>14 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>15 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Can I have you turn to --</p> <p>16 I'm going to actually get out another exhibit.</p> <p>17 (Exhibit 42 marked for identification.)</p> <p>18 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) I'm showing you what we're</p> <p>19 marking as Deposition Exhibit 42. If you could look at</p> <p>20 the side of the page that has 28 at the top. Actually,</p> <p>21 I'm sorry. It starts on the bottom of 27. There's an</p> <p>22 amendment presented by Senator Gallegos that goes to the</p> <p>23 top.</p> <p>24 Do you see that Senator Gallegos offered</p> <p>25 an amendment that would have required driver's license</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">215</p> <p>1 privilege. I'll instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>2 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>3 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did Senator Fraser</p> <p>4 acknowledge on the Floor that a person needed to work to</p> <p>5 make sure that a driver's license office was open?</p> <p>6 A. Can you repeat that question?</p> <p>7 Q. Do you recall the Senator acknowledging on</p> <p>8 the -- during the consideration of SB 14, that people</p> <p>9 needed to work to make sure that a driver's license</p> <p>10 office was open?</p> <p>11 A. I do not recall.</p> <p>12 Q. Were there any other amendments that you</p> <p>13 haven't testified about that were offered that would</p> <p>14 have mitigated the impact of SB 14 on minority voters?</p> <p>15 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, assumes facts</p> <p>16 not in evidence. Also object on legislative</p> <p>17 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>18 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>19 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Based on the public record,</p> <p>20 are there any amendments that we haven't discussed that</p> <p>21 you believe would have mitigated the impact of SB 14 on</p> <p>22 minority voters?</p> <p>23 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, assumes facts</p> <p>24 not in evidence. You -- otherwise, you may answer if</p> <p>25 you can.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">214</p> <p>1 offices to be open until 7 p.m. on one weekend and</p> <p>2 during four or more hours on at least two Saturdays per</p> <p>3 month?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you provide a recommendation to Senator</p> <p>6 Fraser as to how to vote on this bill -- on this</p> <p>7 amendment?</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: I just caution. You may</p> <p>9 answer the question as phrased. I advise you not to</p> <p>10 reveal the substance of any communication.</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did Senator Fraser move to</p> <p>13 table this amendment?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. How would keeping driver's license offices open</p> <p>16 longer interfere with deterring in-person voter</p> <p>17 impersonation?</p> <p>18 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p> <p>19 privilege. I instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>20 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>21 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did Senator Fraser have any</p> <p>22 concern that any individuals who work at hourly wage may</p> <p>23 have a difficult time making it to a driver's license</p> <p>24 office while it's open?</p> <p>25 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">216</p> <p>1 A. I don't recall every amendment offered.</p> <p>2 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Do you recall any amendment</p> <p>3 that you believe would have mitigated the impact of SB</p> <p>4 14 on minority voters?</p> <p>5 MR. FREDERICK: Again, object, assumes</p> <p>6 facts not in evidence. You may answer.</p> <p>7 A. I don't know how to answer, because I don't</p> <p>8 know that Senator Fraser or I think the bill impacted</p> <p>9 minority voters negatively. So the amendment -- I don't</p> <p>10 know that the -- the answer then is no, because I don't</p> <p>11 know that they would have added to what we already</p> <p>12 believe the bill did.</p> <p>13 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) When did the Senate pass SB</p> <p>14 and refer it to the House?</p> <p>15 A. It was either January 26th or 27th when we</p> <p>16 passed it to engrossment. I don't recall exactly which</p> <p>17 day that occurred.</p> <p>18 Q. Is it unusual for the Senate to pass</p> <p>19 legislation within the first two weeks of a session?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Has that ever happened before during the time</p> <p>22 that you've worked for Senator Fraser?</p> <p>23 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>24 Q. Did the Senator have any role with regard to SB</p> <p>25 14 once it was referred to the House?</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">217</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Object only to the extent 2 it seeks privileged matters as to the fact, whether or 3 not he had a role. You may answer. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What role was that? 6 MR. FREDERICK: And I'll object on the 7 basis of legislative privilege and instruct you not to 8 answer to the extent that your answer would reveal 9 his -- the Senator's or your thought process, mental 10 impressions or disclose the substance of any privileged 11 conversation. But to the extent you can describe his 12 role without divulging that, you may answer. 13 A. It was limited in that once Representative 14 Harless was determined to be the House sponsor, we 15 provided her the information that we had that she could 16 move forward. 17 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was the Senator concerned 18 about any of the changes to SB 14 that occurred in the 19 House? 20 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 21 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 22 A. I'll assert privilege. 23 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Was the Senator on the 24 conference committee? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">219</p> <p>1 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 2 A. I'll assert privilege. 3 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the purpose of the 4 conference committee removing the provision of SB 14 5 that would have exempted from the photo ID requirement 6 individuals whose ID had been stolen and who certified 7 that in an affidavit? 8 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 9 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 10 A. I'll assert privilege. 11 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did the Senator ever 12 discuss whether SB 14 might disproportionately impact 13 minority voters? 14 MR. FREDERICK: I'm sorry, Jennifer. You 15 said did the Senate ever discuss -- 16 MS. MARANZANO: Senator. I'm sorry. 17 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Did Senator Fraser ever 18 discuss whether SB 14 might disproportionately impact 19 minorities voters? 20 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 21 privilege, and instruct you not to answer, except to the 22 extent that you recall public statements or statements 23 on the Floor in the committee. 24 A. He had discussions in the Committee of the 25 Whole and on the Floor with other members about their</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">218</p> <p>1 Q. Did the conference committee change the 2 structure of the identification card that was required 3 to be issued free of charge? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Did they insert a new provision into the bill 6 on that topic? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Is that unusual? 9 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, vague. You may 10 answer. 11 A. As I testified earlier, the conference 12 committees, 20, 30 times during a session, we'd go 13 outside the bounds. 14 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the purpose of the 15 conference committee removing the provision of SB 14 16 that would have allowed a tribal identification to be 17 used by a voter? 18 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 19 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 20 A. I'll assert privilege. 21 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) What was the purpose of the 22 conference committee removing a provision that would 23 require the voter education that was required in SB 14 24 be targeted at low income and minority voters? 25 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">220</p> <p>1 impressions of the bill that it would impact minority 2 voters. 3 Q. Did he have discussions about this topic that 4 are not included in the public record? 5 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object, vague, object 6 to form, and object on the legislative privilege, to the 7 extent that it seeks the substance of any nonpublic 8 conversation. To the extent you were aware of it, the 9 fact of the conversation, you may testify to. 10 A. I can't speak to the Senator's private 11 conversations. I wasn't privy to them. 12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Are you aware of any 13 legislators making any statements about illegal alien 14 voting? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Who was that? 17 A. I just know it happened. I don't remember who 18 said it. 19 Q. What was the statement? 20 A. I don't know the specifics of it. I remember 21 reading about it in the newspaper, but I don't remember 22 who said it. 23 Q. When -- when did you read about the statement? 24 A. I want to say there was something in 2009, but 25 I'm not -- I don't recall.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">221</p> <p>1 Q. What newspaper was that in?</p> <p>2 A. I do not recall.</p> <p>3 Q. What was the general subject of the statement?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall. Generally, I remember hearing</p> <p>5 that somebody said something in a committee hearing on</p> <p>6 public record, but that's the extent of what I know.</p> <p>7 Q. Somebody said something in a committee meeting</p> <p>8 that somebody being one of the legislators?</p> <p>9 A. Yes. I'm sorry.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Thank you. Have you ever heard any</p> <p>11 Texas state legislator who voted in favor of SB 14 say</p> <p>12 that it would prevent a legitimately registered voter</p> <p>13 from voting in Texas?</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: I object on privilege, to</p> <p>15 the extent it calls for confidential privileged</p> <p>16 communications, but other than that, you may answer.</p> <p>17 A. That specific statement, no. That general</p> <p>18 idea, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Who said that?</p> <p>20 A. The general thought that a legitimate voter</p> <p>21 might not be able to vote was generally said by the</p> <p>22 Democrat senators who testified against the bill.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. My question was any</p> <p>24 legislator who voted in favor of SB 14 saying that?</p> <p>25 A. Then the answer is no. I'm sorry.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">223</p> <p>1 who they say they are, U.S. citizens"?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Was that part of the purpose of House Bill 218?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Any reason that the Lieutenant Governor would</p> <p>6 assert that that is the purpose of House Bill 218?</p> <p>7 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for</p> <p>8 speculation. You can answer.</p> <p>9 A. I can't speak to what Lieutenant Governor wrote</p> <p>10 in 2007.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, at any time</p> <p>12 since the passage of Senate Bill 14, have you come to</p> <p>13 believe that it was passed with any discriminatory</p> <p>14 purpose?</p> <p>15 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on the basis</p> <p>16 of legislative privilege. I mean, to the extent -- and</p> <p>17 I'll object to the extent that the question seeks your</p> <p>18 thought processes, based on information gathered during</p> <p>19 consideration of SB 14. And otherwise, you can answer</p> <p>20 if you won't reveal that.</p> <p>21 MS. MARANZANO: My question is, post</p> <p>22 enactment, did she come to believe it was passed with</p> <p>23 discriminatory purpose?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) At any time since the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">222</p> <p>1 Q. Have you ever heard any Texas state legislator</p> <p>2 who voted in favor of SB 14 say that it would prevent</p> <p>3 racial or ethnic minorities from voting in Texas?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Are you familiar with a public letter from the</p> <p>6 Lieutenant Governor in 2007 about photo ID requirements?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. This has been previously marked as -- as</p> <p>9 Exhibit 3. This has been previously marked as</p> <p>10 Deposition Exhibit 3. Have you seen this before,</p> <p>11 Ms. McCoy? I'm sorry. I'm showing you what has been</p> <p>12 marked as Deposition Exhibit 3, previously marked. And</p> <p>13 if you can tell me if you've seen it before.</p> <p>14 A. I don't specifically remember reading this, but</p> <p>15 I'm sure I did.</p> <p>16 Q. Do you see that there's a sentence that 8 to 12</p> <p>17 million illegal aliens currently living in the U.S. --</p> <p>18 wait, I'm sorry. Let me find the exact sentence.</p> <p>19 Can you look at the second paragraph? Do</p> <p>20 you see that -- do you see that that sentence says that</p> <p>21 "Yesterday, Senator Troy Fraser brought up in the Senate</p> <p>22 consideration of House Bill 218 by Representative Betty</p> <p>23 Brown, which simply requires voters to present a</p> <p>24 driver's license or some other common form of</p> <p>25 identification at the election polls to prove they are</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">224</p> <p>1 passage of SB 14, have you come to believe that SB 14</p> <p>2 will have a discriminatory effect on minority voters?</p> <p>3 MR. FREDERICK: Same objection, but you</p> <p>4 can answer.</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, can you give me</p> <p>7 about two minutes? I'm just about done.</p> <p>8 A. Sure.</p> <p>9 Q. We'll go off the record for about two minutes.</p> <p>10 A. Yeah. Uh-huh.</p> <p>11 (Recess from 5:03 to 5:11 p.m.)</p> <p>12 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, do you know what</p> <p>13 percentage of Senator Fraser's district was made up of</p> <p>14 Latinos?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. You testified earlier that minority legislators</p> <p>17 made statement on the Floor to -- statements on the</p> <p>18 Floor for the benefit of I believe you said, "of you."</p> <p>19 Did you mean the Department of Justice?</p> <p>20 A. I meant -- yes, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. What's the basis of that statement?</p> <p>22 A. Personal opinion.</p> <p>23 Q. What's your personal opinion based on?</p> <p>24 A. Their opposition to the bill and the fact that</p> <p>25 they thought maybe y'all could help kill it.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">225</p> <p>1 Q. Did any of them say anything like that to you? 2 MR. FREDERICK: Object, based on 3 legislative privilege, to the extent there was any 4 confidential communication between a specific legislator 5 and you or Senator Fraser, I'll instruct you not to 6 answer. Otherwise, to the extent you can answer, you 7 may. 8 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Can you answer this 9 question? 10 A. I cannot. 11 Q. Ms. McCoy, if you're called to testify at 12 trial, will you testify that SB 14 has no discriminatory 13 purpose? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. If you're called to testify at trial, will you 16 testify that SB 14 has no discriminatory effect? 17 A. Yes. 18 MS. MARANZANO: Well, to be clear for 19 Mr. Frederick, it's our position that if she's taking 20 that position, we have a right to explore the basis of 21 that under our motion to compel. 22 MR. FREDERICK: I'm sorry. Just so I'm 23 clear, to explore what? 24 MS. MARANZANO: To explore the -- I mean, 25 there's a number of questions that are related to that,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">227</p> <p>1 taking it out at some point. I don't recall really when 2 that came out just because I was kind of hurriedly 3 looking through the versions. And I think the House did 4 send it to us with it in and we had taken out. 5 Q. Thank you for that clarification. 6 A. You're welcome. 7 Q. Anything else? 8 A. That's -- that's it. 9 Q. Any -- I'm sorry. 10 A. So that was the only thing that I wasn't sure 11 about when I answered earlier. 12 Q. Is there any information that you didn't recall 13 earlier that you now recall? 14 A. No. 15 MS. MARANZANO: Well, as I said, we're 16 leaving this deposition open, and I will now hand it 17 over to Chad. 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. DUNN: 20 Q. Good afternoon. 21 A. Hi. 22 Q. Hi. My name is Chad Dunn. I don't think we've 23 ever met before, have we? 24 A. I don't think so. 25 Q. All right. Well, I know you've been here for</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">226</p> <p>1 that y'all have not permitted her to answer. So we 2 would reserve our right -- we're going to leave this 3 deposition open. I'd like to say that for the record. 4 And you know, we believe that we have a right to ask her 5 and get answers on a number of questions that you've 6 instructed her not to answer, if she's taking the 7 position that she will offer these opinions if she's 8 called to testify at trial. 9 MR. DUNN: Intervenors join. 10 MR. FREDERICK: Okay. I understand. 11 Q. (By Ms. Maranzano) Ms. McCoy, are there any 12 answers that you've provided today that you now wish to 13 change? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. What's that? 16 A. When we took our break. 17 Q. Uh-huh. 18 A. I went back and tried to look at the record of 19 when things passed or didn't pass. 20 Q. Uh-huh. 21 A. And I didn't have a lot of time, because there 22 was someone in my office on an unrelated issue for most 23 of the hour. But you -- the Senate did remove the 24 provision on student ID's, not the House. I think I had 25 testified that the House removed it, but we ended up</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">228</p> <p>1 quite a while today, so I'm not going to, you know, try 2 to waste your time. I've got a few issues that I want 3 to ask you about. And I'm going to jump around a little 4 bit, all right? I'm going to do my best to not ask you 5 anything that's already been asked. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. But in order to get done with you as soon as I 8 can, I may end up having to overlap just a little bit, 9 and for that I apologize. All right. 10 I'm going to start a little bit with some 11 of your earlier testimony. As I understand it, you used 12 to be an election judge; is that true? 13 A. One election I served as election judge. 14 Q. Which election was that? 15 A. Maybe 2000. I don't really recall. It's been 16 a while. 17 Q. Was that in Travis County? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And were you appointed as the actual election 20 judge, or you served as a volunteer? 21 A. I was not precinct chair. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. I -- they called and asked me, it was a 24 November election, the local party called and said we 25 need a Republican, because they had -- they wanted a</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">229</p> <p>1 Democrat and a Republican. And I lived in the precinct, 2 and they asked me to do it, and I said yes. I don't 3 know if they had to actually turn my name in or not. 4 Q. Okay. And I'm not trying to quarrel with you, 5 but it sounds more like you were an election observer or 6 a poll watcher than an election judge. 7 A. No, sir. I was an election -- or the assistant 8 election -- I don't think I was the election judge. I 9 was the assistant election judge. I mean, I actually 10 had the title. 11 Q. Okay. And what were your responsibilities there 12 at the polling location? 13 A. I was -- what was it? I was -- I -- served as 14 the election judge, assistant election judge. So I 15 monitored the election. If there were any issues that 16 came up, I was there to resolve them. There were not. 17 And then at the end of the night, I do remember taking 18 the box. They used -- they used to take the boxes to -- 19 Q. Central tabulation? 20 A. Yeah. Well, you know where Palmer Event 21 Center -- there used to be a building next to Palmer 22 Event Center, but they tore it down. Whatever that 23 building was, I took the box there and had to turn it in 24 official. And then by election code, you have to keep 25 the documents for two years. And so I was the custodian</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">231</p> <p>1 Republican party official. 2 Q. Was it the chairman? 3 A. I don't recall. 4 Q. I assume that you vote in most elections? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Do you vote in both nonpartisan and partisan 7 elections? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. And you're going to hate me for asking this, 10 but it's important for several questions, what year were 11 you born? 12 A. 1969. 13 Q. All right. I tried to do it as gingerly as I 14 could. 15 A. I'm not embarrassed. 16 Q. So I assume since you turned 18 and since then, 17 you have more or less consistently voted? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Whenever you have voted in Texas, have you ever 20 observed activity that made you concerned it would be 21 voter fraud? 22 A. No, sir. 23 Q. Have you ever voted in an election and observed 24 discriminatory behavior by an election clerk? 25 A. No, sir.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">230</p> <p>1 of the documents for that precinct for two years. 2 Q. All right. Were you actually assigned the 3 responsibility of enrolling voters as they came in? 4 A. I did not sit there and check the voters. 5 That, the election workers did that. 6 Q. And did you do that during early vote or 7 election day or both? 8 A. It was only on election day. 9 Q. Do you remember the precinct? 10 A. No. The precinct number, no, sir. 11 Q. Do you remember the actual location? 12 A. There was a church just at 45th and Medical 13 Parkway. 14 Q. While there, did you observe any activity that 15 you were concerned might be election fraud? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Have you served in an election judge or 18 election official capacity any other time than we just 19 spoke about? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Now, you said somebody called you and asked you 22 to do this. Do you remember who that was? 23 A. No, sir. 24 Q. Was it a party official or a county official? 25 A. I think it was a party official. Travis County</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">232</p> <p>1 Q. I think you also testified that you've lived in 2 Texas your whole life; is that true? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. Have you, during that time, ever witnessed an 5 act of discrimination against a minority citizen in 6 Texas? 7 MR. FREDERICK: Object as vague. Object 8 to relevance. But you can answer. 9 A. Yeah. I don't -- I don't know that I can 10 answer that question because it's vague. I mean -- 11 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Well, I'll do -- I'll see if I 12 can do better. All right? 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. Have you ever seen a government official deny a 15 benefit or service to a minority citizen? 16 A. No, sir. 17 Q. Have you ever seen another citizen in Texas 18 treat a minority citizen with some degree of disrespect? 19 A. Another citizen? 20 Q. Yes, ma'am. 21 A. So one citizen treating somebody else with 22 disrespect? Yes, sir. 23 Q. And was that an Anglo to an African-American or 24 an Anglo to a Latino or something different? 25 A. I think in all instances I have seen people</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">233</p> <p>1 treat people poorly, whether it's minority on minority 2 or Anglo on minority or minority on Anglo, I've seen it 3 all. And I've lived 43 years, you see a lot of 4 disrespect.</p> <p>5 Q. So, in your opinion, there's not a higher 6 degree of discrimination amongst the citizenry between 7 Anglos and minority population in Texas anyway?</p> <p>8 A. In my opinion, that's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Have you always lived in Austin?</p> <p>10 A. No, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Where else have you lived in the state?</p> <p>12 A. Houston, in College Station, Bryan/College 13 Station when I was at A&M.</p> <p>14 Q. You went to school at A&M?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Where did you grow up?</p> <p>17 A. Houston.</p> <p>18 Q. So is it fair to say that you've more or less 19 split your life in-between Houston and Travis County?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Now, I'm going to move to a different topic, so 22 I'm trying not to lose you here. But you were asked a 23 number of questions about the various photo voter ID 24 bills over the last several sessions about documents 25 prepared as part of the legislative process. Okay.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">235</p> <p>1 Q. Was it completely created by and put out by 2 Senate Research, or did it include language provided by 3 you or somebody in your office?</p> <p>4 A. Senator Fraser, through me, provides language 5 to the committee chair and their staff, and in this 6 case, Senator Duncan chaired Senate State Affairs. They 7 then take that information and submit it to Senate 8 Research Center. I don't know for sure if they used my 9 language verbatim. Sometimes they do. Sometimes they 10 don't. And on this instance, I do not recall if they 11 used any of my language verbatim.</p> <p>12 Q. And so just to be clear in our record, do you 13 recall whether or not the language your office submitted 14 to Senator Duncan's staff was changed at all when it was 15 submitted by Senator Duncan's staff to Senate research?</p> <p>16 A. I do not recall.</p> <p>17 Q. Who was it with Senator Duncan's staff you 18 would have coordinated on the research analysis?</p> <p>19 A. Jennifer Fagan.</p> <p>20 Q. Was any convincing or cajoling necessary to 21 convince Senator Duncan's staff to support this bill?</p> <p>22 MR. FREDERICK: Object, legislative 23 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>24 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) With respect to the -- in</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">234</p> <p>1 That's where I'm going now.</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. And you were asked some questions about bill 4 analysis that were prepared. And I want to focus on SB 5 14 for a minute. The bill analysis prepared for SB 14 6 was created by whom?</p> <p>7 A. The bill analysis that was created by Senate 8 Bill 14 as it came out of Senate, as it was filed, as it 9 came out of Senate committee as it was grossed, 10 engrossed, was prepared by Senate Research Center, 11 essentially, via the Senate administrative process.</p> <p>12 The House, when it made it over there, 13 their processes developed their own bill analysis for 14 use. I don't really recall -- I don't know who does 15 theirs.</p> <p>16 Q. All right.</p> <p>17 A. They probably base it on ours, but they do 18 their own.</p> <p>19 Q. And I want to focus on the Senate report 20 first. The Senate report was prepared by Senate 21 Research, if I understood your answer right.</p> <p>22 A. That's -- yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And I want to make sure we're being very 24 specific about that.</p> <p>25 A. Right.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">236</p> <p>1 addition to the bill analysis, a fiscal note was also 2 prepared; is that true?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. And was that prepared by the Legislative Budget 5 Board?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Can you recall if, and I'm not asking for the 8 numbers, but from the various sessions you've been asked 9 about photo ID bills today, can you recall if there were 10 any changes to the fiscal note of the bill in its 11 various iterations?</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall what the fiscal note was in 13 2007. I think in 2009 the number stayed somewhere in 14 the 2 to 4 million dollar range.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you remember who the analyst at LBB was on 16 these bills?</p> <p>17 A. I did not know the analyst at LBB.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you ever communicate with the analyst at 19 LBB?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you ever provide LBB any estimates as to 22 your belief as to the fiscal impact of the bill?</p> <p>23 A. No, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you ever do or conduct any research as to 25 what the fiscal impact of the bill would be?</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">237</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Object, based on 2 legislative privilege. Instruct you not to answer to 3 the extent it reveals the substance of any research. I 4 instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>5 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>6 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Stepping way from this bill for 7 a minute, is it generally important that a bill in the 8 legislature not have a fiscal impact or have a minimal 9 fiscal impact in order to pass?</p> <p>10 MR. FREDERICK: Object, vagueness, but you 11 can answer.</p> <p>12 MR. DUNN: I'll strike the question and 13 rephrase. How about that?</p> <p>14 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Is it -- bills with a large 15 fiscal impact, do they typically get defeated or fail?</p> <p>16 A. They take longer to pass.</p> <p>17 Q. And they -- and because the bill involves a 18 larger fiscal impact, that means the state has to divert 19 financial resources to the bill's tenants; is that true, 20 if it were to pass?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Well, I assume that in all these years that 23 you've worked for Senator Fraser and perhaps others, you 24 have prepared a lot of bills and received a lot of 25 fiscal impacts on bills; is that true?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">239</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. All right. What efforts were made -- is it 3 true then that Senate Bill 14 had a fiscal impact 4 according to the LBB?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. What steps were taken to make sure the funding 7 would be available for the bill?</p> <p>8 A. In 2007, no, I'm sorry. I get my years -- in 9 2009 -- well, let's back up.</p> <p>10 So when a bill has a fiscal impact, you 11 need a Finance Committee member to propose an amendment 12 to the budget or a rider or some sort of mechanism to 13 get that included, a contingency rider, sometimes. In 14 2007, I want to say that -- I can't remember if I did 15 one of those or not. In 2009, I'm sorry. Sorry. 16 2009. In 2007, I don't -- I didn't do anything with the 17 budget of the bill. I don't remember that.</p> <p>18 In 2009, I think we prepared a contingency 19 rider, and I think we asked another senator who was on 20 the committee to move that forward, who was a supporter 21 of the bill.</p> <p>22 In 2011, I don't recall that I did any 23 work on trying to -- on behalf of Senator Fraser in 24 trying to get money in the budget.</p> <p>25 Q. Is the short answer with respect to Senate Bill</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">238</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. Is it not typically bad news when you received 3 a fiscal impact statement from LBB that puts money on 4 the cost of a bill?</p> <p>5 MR. FREDERICK: Object to vagueness. You 6 can answer.</p> <p>7 A. Well, I think I need you to ask the question 8 better. I don't -- can you ask the question again?</p> <p>9 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Uh-huh. Is it more difficult to 10 pass a piece of legislation when it has a fiscal impact 11 statement from LBB that it costs a considerable amount 12 of money?</p> <p>13 A. Not always, but the majority of the time, yes, 14 you'd rather have a zero fiscal note than not. Or have 15 a low fiscal note than not.</p> <p>16 Q. And just so our court, which may not understand 17 the process here in Texas, our budget here in the state 18 is prepared either by the House Appropriations Committee 19 or the Senate Finance Committee in alternating sessions; 20 is that true?</p> <p>21 A. That's true.</p> <p>22 Q. And so if a member wants to pass a bill that 23 has a fiscal impact, they typically have to go to either 24 the Appropriations or Finance Committee and work out an 25 arrangement to pay for the bill; is that also true?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">240</p> <p>1 14, in 2011, you can't recall what, if anything, you did 2 to get the bill funded?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall that I did anything to get the 4 bill funded --</p> <p>5 Q. All right.</p> <p>6 A. -- on behalf of Senator Fraser.</p> <p>7 Q. Senator Fraser has served on the Finance 8 Committee before, has he not?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, he has.</p> <p>10 Q. When did that service stop?</p> <p>11 A. I don't recall. 2005 or 2007.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you recall the senator you approached in 13 2009 to hold the contingency rider on the committee?</p> <p>14 A. I do not.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you know who carried it in 2011?</p> <p>16 A. I do not.</p> <p>17 Q. Just for our record, which -- was the 18 appropriation bill in '11 out of the house or out of the 19 Senate? Or do you remember?</p> <p>20 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>21 Q. I don't either so I was hoping you did.</p> <p>22 A. I try to forget.</p> <p>23 Q. All right. As part of the fiscal analysis for 24 the bill, did you participate in trying to determine 25 what it would cost to fully fund antifraud technologies</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">241</p> <p>1 in voting locations?</p> <p>2 MR. FREDERICK: I'm going to object on the</p> <p>3 basis of privilege, to the extent it calls for the</p> <p>4 substance of any communications or your thought process,</p> <p>5 mental impressions, but the question as phrased, you may</p> <p>6 answer it as long as you don't reveal those matters.</p> <p>7 A. Senator Fraser and I didn't do any work on</p> <p>8 developing what the fiscal note looked like or what the</p> <p>9 agency said it would cost them or not cost them. So we</p> <p>10 did nothing on the fiscal impact.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) All right. Fair enough. So</p> <p>12 I'll ask this question: Did you, though, in working on</p> <p>13 the bill, in any session, come to learn of various</p> <p>14 technologies that have been developed or being developed</p> <p>15 that can be used in polling locations to stop voter</p> <p>16 fraud?</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: Object to legislative</p> <p>18 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>19 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>20 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) With respect to Senate Bill 14,</p> <p>21 do you know which legislator or which legislator's staff</p> <p>22 was the point person for the fiscal impact of the bill,</p> <p>23 if it wasn't you?</p> <p>24 A. I mean --</p> <p>25 MR. FREDERICK: If you know.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">243</p> <p>1 administrative functions for those three employees, and</p> <p>2 they call me and bounce things off me about meetings or</p> <p>3 issues or case work that they're working on.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you go out into the district?</p> <p>5 A. I have. I haven't done it in the last couple</p> <p>6 of years.</p> <p>7 Q. Senator Fraser's district is, gross</p> <p>8 generalization, but more or less northwest of the Austin</p> <p>9 area; is that true?</p> <p>10 A. He would categorize it as the Hill Country from</p> <p>11 Kerr County to Abilene, from Belton to Menard.</p> <p>12 Q. All right.</p> <p>13 A. Junction.</p> <p>14 Q. Where are his district offices?</p> <p>15 A. Abilene, Belton and Marble Falls.</p> <p>16 Q. Is there an area in the district that has a</p> <p>17 high degree of African-American, Latino or Asian</p> <p>18 population?</p> <p>19 A. I would assume Bell County probably has our</p> <p>20 largest minority population because it's our largest</p> <p>21 county.</p> <p>22 Q. How would you describe that minority? I mean,</p> <p>23 is it Latino, African-American mixed?</p> <p>24 A. African-American.</p> <p>25 Q. I think you were asked earlier if you knew the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">242</p> <p>1 A. When a bill moves to the legislative process,</p> <p>2 the committee staff in the committee is responsible for</p> <p>3 asking LBB for a fiscal note. LBB then asks the</p> <p>4 different agencies that are impacted how much it will</p> <p>5 cost. That comes back, gets attached to the bill, and</p> <p>6 we move forward.</p> <p>7 I mean, I don't -- I mean, I think -- I</p> <p>8 mean, I don't know that I can be responsive to your</p> <p>9 question, because I don't know that any legislative</p> <p>10 staff directed anybody to provide that type -- those</p> <p>11 numbers. It's just that's what happened. That's what</p> <p>12 we got back from legislative budget board.</p> <p>13 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Let me try a different way of</p> <p>14 phrasing the question. Do you know and can you name for</p> <p>15 us any staffer that was involved in formulating the</p> <p>16 fiscal impact of this bill or coordinating with LBB?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. As I understand your description earlier</p> <p>19 of your job responsibilities, you principally handle the</p> <p>20 Capitol office for Senator Fraser and his legislative</p> <p>21 priorities; is that true?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you have any district office</p> <p>24 responsibilities?</p> <p>25 A. Only insomuch as I oversee the same</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">244</p> <p>1 percentage of Latinos you have in your district. I</p> <p>2 think you said you didn't know; is that true?</p> <p>3 A. That's true.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you have an estimate?</p> <p>5 A. No, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you have an estimate for the number of</p> <p>7 African-Americans in your district?</p> <p>8 A. No, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you have an estimate for the number of</p> <p>10 Asians in your district?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. You've been with Senator Fraser for 10 years.</p> <p>13 Did I hear that right?</p> <p>14 A. Since 1998.</p> <p>15 Q. '98. All right. So about 14 years?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. In the 14 years, what activities have you taken</p> <p>18 or Senator Fraser taken to support the minority</p> <p>19 community in his district? Any bills, business,</p> <p>20 meetings, or legislative business undertaken for those</p> <p>21 groups?</p> <p>22 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on legislative</p> <p>23 privilege, only to the extent that your answer would</p> <p>24 require you to reveal thought process, mental</p> <p>25 impressions or confidential communications related to</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">245</p> <p>1 pending legislation. To the extent you can answer 2 without revealing that, you may answer. 3 A. Senator Fraser votes and promotes and works 4 with every constituent equally. 5 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) And I appreciate that. I'm just 6 trying to find out, can you name for us some bills or 7 meetings or sort of administrative lobbying he's done on 8 behalf of the minority constituents in his district? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Now, with regard to your district 11 responsibilities, do you ever go out and take the place 12 of the Senator at an event or speak to group because 13 he's unavailable? 14 A. I have in the past. I have not done it in 15 about three years. 16 Q. What sort of events would you go speak to? 17 A. They weren't necessarily speaking events where 18 it was a public forum. They typically would just be 19 meetings with various constituency groups that wanted to 20 express concerns or opinions to our office. 21 Q. Are there – if I understand the testimony 22 earlier, there's sort of a schedule kept by your office 23 of where the Senator goes and does. It doesn't have 24 everything, but it has some things; is that right? 25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">247</p> <p>1 pushing photo ID? 2 A. No, sir. 3 Q. It would just come up as a part of more general 4 political discussion? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. My question earlier was limited to events in 7 the district. Now I'm going to ask you about anywhere. 8 Have you been to such events? 9 A. No, sir. 10 Q. When is it that photo ID became something you 11 started working on in your office? 12 A. The spring of 2007. 13 Q. What caused that project to develop? 14 MR. FREDERICK: Object, based on 15 legislative privilege. To the extent you can answer 16 without revealing communications with the Senator, 17 legislators, constituents, or thoughts or mental 18 impression, you can answer. 19 A. When the Senator agreed to be the House sponsor 20 to House Bill 218. 21 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Prior to agreeing to be the 22 sponsor of House Bill 218, you hadn't researched, 23 drafted bill language or otherwise worked on the photo 24 ID issue? 25 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">246</p> <p>1 Q. Would it also include the events that you 2 attended or another staff attended on his behalf? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. Those would be kept on the staffer's private 5 calendar? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Can you recall ever have gone to an event in 8 place of Senator Fraser and speaking with a group of 9 African-Americans or Latinos? 10 A. I've gone to events where African-Americans and 11 Latinos were present but nothing specific to those 12 groups. 13 Q. Not a group or event where they made up the 14 predominant population in the attendees? 15 A. That's correct. I have not. 16 Q. Have you attended any public meetings in 17 Senator Fraser's district as it relates to photo ID? 18 A. I've attended meetings with the Senator where 19 he has – speaking to a group where he brings up the 20 topic of photo ID in terms of the legislation that he 21 passed or didn't pass, given whatever year it was, but 22 nothing specific for the meeting specifically about 23 voter ID. 24 Q. In other words, you didn't go to any rallies or 25 events put together by certain political groups who were</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">248</p> <p>1 privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 2 A. I'll assert privilege. 3 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) The impetus to your office 4 beginning to deal with photo ID, did it have anything to 5 do with a specific event or events actually involving 6 voter impersonation? 7 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the basis of 8 legislative privilege. Instruct you not to answer. 9 A. I'll assert privilege. 10 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Why is it that Senator Fraser, 11 after having been asked in 2007 to carry House Bill 218, 12 why is it that he continued to hold the issue and push 13 it in future sessions? 14 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the basis of 15 legislative privilege. To the extent that it -- that 16 the question calls for subjective mental impressions, 17 thought process or confidential communications, I 18 instruct you not to answer. If you can answer based on 19 nonprivileged matters including public statements or 20 core testimony, you can do so. 21 A. I think I can say that Senator Fraser has said 22 consistently, public record or public meetings, that he 23 believes that the issue of in-person voter fraud and 24 protecting the integrity of our elections was important, 25 and he wanted to continue to fight.</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">249</p> <p>1 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) And he came to that conclusion 2 sometime in or around the spring of 2007 when he was 3 asked to support House Bill 218?</p> <p>4 MR. FREDERICK: Objection to the extent it 5 mischaracterizes the prior testimony. Also object on 6 legislative privilege. I instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>7 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>8 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Is it true that Senator Fraser's 9 issue in the photo ID matter -- strike that.</p> <p>10 Senator Fraser's interest in the photo ID 11 matter did not develop until he was approached by a 12 member of the House?</p> <p>13 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, legislative 14 privilege. Instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>15 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>16 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) You were asked some testimony 17 about Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. I'm just 18 telling you that to sort of get you to where I'm going 19 now.</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. And you mentioned something that 22 nine states and some territories are subject to Section 23 5. Did I hear you correctly?</p> <p>24 A. That was my comment. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know how it is that those nine states</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">251</p> <p>1 discrimination in Texas resolved?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. But in any event, in your opinion, we're 4 at the point now where federal laws are not required to 5 protect minority citizens in Texas?</p> <p>6 MR. FREDERICK: You may answer to the 7 extent that --</p> <p>8 A. My personal opinion is federal law is not 9 necessary to protect minority voters in Texas.</p> <p>10 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) But you believe that minority 11 citizens have an equal opportunity to vote as Anglos or 12 anyone else?</p> <p>13 A. That they do?</p> <p>14 Q. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. That is -- that sort of belief, the 17 belief that everybody that's an American citizen has an 18 equal vote is also part of the law in the constitution, 19 the federal constitution; is that true?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. So when you draft legislation, 22 whether it's on elections or anything else for the 23 Senator, do you keep that concern in mind to make sure 24 you're complying with that provision of the 25 constitution?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">250</p> <p>1 and territories were made subject to Section 5?</p> <p>2 A. My understanding is that it was part of -- back 3 when LBJ was president, but that's as much I could speak 4 to.</p> <p>5 Q. In other words, you don't know if there was a 6 formula or some kind of basis used to select the areas 7 subject to Section 5, you're not familiar with it?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you aware that Section 5 was applied to 10 certain areas because of the history of discrimination?</p> <p>11 A. It's my understanding that that was the 12 intent. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. And do you deny that there's a history of 14 discrimination in Texas?</p> <p>15 A. No, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. When is it that you think, if you do, that the 17 discrimination in Texas stopped?</p> <p>18 A. I don't recall that there being discrimination 19 in my life -- well, in my adult lifetime.</p> <p>20 Q. So sometime in your adolescence is when that 21 problem was solved in your view?</p> <p>22 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, to the extent 23 misstates the testimony. You can answer.</p> <p>24 MR. DUNN: I'll rephrase.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) When in your view was racial</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">252</p> <p>1 A. I --</p> <p>2 MR. FREDERICK: Object on the basis of 3 legislative privilege, and instruct you not to answer.</p> <p>4 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>5 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Do you believe that -- this 6 is -- I'm going to make sure I'm clear about this. If 7 you're confused, ask me. But do you believe that 8 government, whether it's state or federal, is capable of 9 disenfranchising a citizen? I beg your pardon?</p> <p>10 A. I'm sorry. I'm just thinking in my head.</p> <p>11 Q. Oh.</p> <p>12 A. What do you mean by "disenfranchising"?</p> <p>13 Q. Well, let's say the federal government passed a 14 law that said that people with blue eyes can't vote any 15 longer.</p> <p>16 A. So we're specifically talking about elections?</p> <p>17 Q. Yes. Uh-huh. Such a law would disenfranchise 18 voters. Would you agree?</p> <p>19 A. If you had blue eyes, yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. All right. You and I share that trait. That's 21 why I picked that one.</p> <p>22 A. Maybe we shouldn't be voting.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, we probably -- well, I won't even say.</p> <p>24 All right. With respect to -- there have 25 been laws over the years that have caused voters to be</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">253</p> <p>1 disenfranchised; would you agree?</p> <p>2 A. Previous, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. For example, requiring a voter to pay a poll</p> <p>4 tax. Would you agree that resulted in disenfranchise?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Laws that require voters to take a literacy</p> <p>7 test. Would you agree that was disenfranchising?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you believe that government can make voting</p> <p>10 so onerous that it becomes a disenfranchisement?</p> <p>11 MR. FREDERICK: Object as vague, but if</p> <p>12 you have an opinion, you can answer it.</p> <p>13 A. I don't believe the government would do that.</p> <p>14 Q. Fine. But if it did, it could become</p> <p>15 disenfranchising?</p> <p>16 A. I think the government could do a lot of things</p> <p>17 that are bad and does.</p> <p>18 Q. So is it your opinion that Senate Bill 14 as</p> <p>19 passed has no effect on the difficulty in voting in</p> <p>20 Texas?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object only to the</p> <p>22 extent that the question seeks your mental impressions,</p> <p>23 thought processes related to the development of SB 14</p> <p>24 and any confidential communications. As you sit here</p> <p>25 today, you may answer based on personal knowledge or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">255</p> <p>1 knowledge, as you sit here today, not based on that, you</p> <p>2 may answer.</p> <p>3 A. So the question is?</p> <p>4 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) The question is, what is your</p> <p>5 opinion as to whether or not Senate Bill 14 places some</p> <p>6 burden on voting?</p> <p>7 A. I don't believe it imposes an additional burden</p> <p>8 on voting that makes it hard for anybody to vote.</p> <p>9 Q. And so I just want to make sure I understand.</p> <p>10 You don't think that there's any burden, or you think</p> <p>11 that the burden is insignificant?</p> <p>12 A. I think the burden is insignificant.</p> <p>13 Q. All right. And so whereas a burden that would</p> <p>14 come from a poll tax or a literacy test is some degree</p> <p>15 higher -- well, let me just strike that.</p> <p>16 Where do you draw the line between the</p> <p>17 burden of a poll tax, for example, and the burden</p> <p>18 created by the photo ID requirement?</p> <p>19 MR. FREDERICK: Object as vague.</p> <p>20 A. I don't know that I personally believe that the</p> <p>21 burden of having a photo ID is a burden or having a</p> <p>22 photo ID is a burden.</p> <p>23 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) I see. All right. Well, have</p> <p>24 you worked on -- you staffed State Affairs for some</p> <p>25 period of time I think you said, right?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">254</p> <p>1 opinion.</p> <p>2 A. I do not believe Senate Bill 14 disenfranchises</p> <p>3 voters.</p> <p>4 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) All right. And that's fair</p> <p>5 enough, but that's a slightly different answer to a</p> <p>6 different question.</p> <p>7 I'm asking: Do you believe that Senate</p> <p>8 Bill 14 puts some burden on voting that wasn't there</p> <p>9 before?</p> <p>10 MR. FREDERICK: Same objection and</p> <p>11 instruction.</p> <p>12 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Can I? Is that what --</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: No. Let me repeat it just</p> <p>15 so I'm clear to you.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: I object based on</p> <p>18 legislative privilege, only to the extent the question</p> <p>19 seeks your thoughts or Senator Fraser's thoughts or</p> <p>20 mental impressions about SB 14 during the process of</p> <p>21 development and passage or any confidential</p> <p>22 communications --</p> <p>23 A. Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: -- related to it. To the</p> <p>25 extent that you have a personal opinion or personal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">256</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And State Affairs in the Senate is where most</p> <p>3 election bills go through unless --</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. -- there's a special rule set up?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. All right. In this case, Senate Bill 14 didn't</p> <p>8 go through State Affairs because the Committee of the</p> <p>9 Whole was created; is that true?</p> <p>10 A. That's true.</p> <p>11 Q. But typically, the committee with senators who</p> <p>12 have the background and knowledge about election matters</p> <p>13 are in State Affairs?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. There have been a number of bills that have</p> <p>16 been passed by the state legislature in the last several</p> <p>17 sessions that relate to election laws; is that true?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. There have also been a number that relate to</p> <p>20 voter registration; is that true?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Are you familiar with bills that have created</p> <p>23 new requirements for deputy voter registrars in Texas?</p> <p>24 A. Not specifically, no.</p> <p>25 Q. All right. Are you familiar with any bills</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">253</p> <p>1 disenfranchised; would you agree?</p> <p>2 A. Previous, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. For example, requiring a voter to pay a poll</p> <p>4 tax. Would you agree that resulted in disenfranchise?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Laws that require voters to take a literacy</p> <p>7 test. Would you agree that was disenfranchising?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you believe that government can make voting</p> <p>10 so onerous that it becomes a disenfranchisement?</p> <p>11 MR. FREDERICK: Object as vague, but if</p> <p>12 you have an opinion, you can answer it.</p> <p>13 A. I don't believe the government would do that.</p> <p>14 Q. Fine. But if it did, it could become</p> <p>15 disenfranchising?</p> <p>16 A. I think the government could do a lot of things</p> <p>17 that are bad and does.</p> <p>18 Q. So is it your opinion that Senate Bill 14 as</p> <p>19 passed has no effect on the difficulty in voting in</p> <p>20 Texas?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object only to the</p> <p>22 extent that the question seeks your mental impressions,</p> <p>23 thought processes related to the development of SB 14</p> <p>24 and any confidential communications. As you sit here</p> <p>25 today, you may answer based on personal knowledge or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">255</p> <p>1 knowledge, as you sit here today, not based on that, you</p> <p>2 may answer.</p> <p>3 A. So the question is?</p> <p>4 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) The question is, what is your</p> <p>5 opinion as to whether or not Senate Bill 14 places some</p> <p>6 burden on voting?</p> <p>7 A. I don't believe it imposes an additional burden</p> <p>8 on voting that makes it hard for anybody to vote.</p> <p>9 Q. And so I just want to make sure I understand.</p> <p>10 You don't think that there's any burden, or you think</p> <p>11 that the burden is insignificant?</p> <p>12 A. I think the burden is insignificant.</p> <p>13 Q. All right. And so whereas a burden that would</p> <p>14 come from a poll tax or a literacy test is some degree</p> <p>15 higher -- well, let me just strike that.</p> <p>16 Where do you draw the line between the</p> <p>17 burden of a poll tax, for example, and the burden</p> <p>18 created by the photo ID requirement?</p> <p>19 MR. FREDERICK: Object as vague.</p> <p>20 A. I don't know that I personally believe that the</p> <p>21 burden of having a photo ID is a burden or having a</p> <p>22 photo ID is a burden.</p> <p>23 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) I see. All right. Well, have</p> <p>24 you worked on -- you staffed State Affairs for some</p> <p>25 period of time I think you said, right?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">254</p> <p>1 opinion.</p> <p>2 A. I do not believe Senate Bill 14 disenfranchises</p> <p>3 voters.</p> <p>4 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) All right. And that's fair</p> <p>5 enough, but that's a slightly different answer to a</p> <p>6 different question.</p> <p>7 I'm asking: Do you believe that Senate</p> <p>8 Bill 14 puts some burden on voting that wasn't there</p> <p>9 before?</p> <p>10 MR. FREDERICK: Same objection and</p> <p>11 instruction.</p> <p>12 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Can I? Is that what --</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: No. Let me repeat it just</p> <p>15 so I'm clear to you.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>17 MR. FREDERICK: I object based on</p> <p>18 legislative privilege, only to the extent the question</p> <p>19 seeks your thoughts or Senator Fraser's thoughts or</p> <p>20 mental impressions about SB 14 during the process of</p> <p>21 development and passage or any confidential</p> <p>22 communications --</p> <p>23 A. Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: -- related to it. To the</p> <p>25 extent that you have a personal opinion or personal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">256</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And State Affairs in the Senate is where most</p> <p>3 election bills go through unless --</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. -- there's a special rule set up?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. All right. In this case, Senate Bill 14 didn't</p> <p>8 go through State Affairs because the Committee of the</p> <p>9 Whole was created; is that true?</p> <p>10 A. That's true.</p> <p>11 Q. But typically, the committee with senators who</p> <p>12 have the background and knowledge about election matters</p> <p>13 are in State Affairs?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. There have been a number of bills that have</p> <p>16 been passed by the state legislature in the last several</p> <p>17 sessions that relate to election laws; is that true?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. There have also been a number that relate to</p> <p>20 voter registration; is that true?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Are you familiar with bills that have created</p> <p>23 new requirements for deputy voter registrars in Texas?</p> <p>24 A. Not specifically, no.</p> <p>25 Q. All right. Are you familiar with any bills</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">257</p> <p>1 that create additional burdens in order to become 2 registered to vote in Texas?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall that we passed anything about 4 registering to vote since HAVA or that doesn't comply 5 with HAVA.</p> <p>6 Q. So if things have been passed since then, you 7 just don't recall it?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Now, could you see a scenario where the -- and 10 I'm using your language, the insignificant burden of SB 11 14 added on to a burden of voter registration, added on 12 to a burden of deputy registrars, could add up to 13 disenfranchisement in time?</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for 15 speculation. You can answer if you can.</p> <p>16 A. I don't know what the changes were to voter 17 registration and deputies, so I cannot answer the 18 question.</p> <p>19 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) But you would agree that if we 20 take stacks of hairs and we continue to stack the hairs, 21 sooner or later we'll get to the thickness of a quarter?</p> <p>22 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, vague. You can 23 answer if you can.</p> <p>24 A. I don't believe that Senate Bill 14 was passed 25 in a vacuum, and I think we recognized what the rest of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">259</p> <p>1 in advance of the last legislative session, did they 2 not, with respect to information that had to be provided 3 to get a driver's license or ID?</p> <p>4 A. I think that's correct. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. So is it your opinion that as DPS continues to 6 add requirements to getting a driver's license or an ID, 7 that doesn't create a burden, even ever so slight, to 8 voting?</p> <p>9 A. Well, Senate Bill 14 provides with other forms 10 of ID, so you could use one of those forms, those five 11 other forms, four other forms, to vote if you didn't 12 want to get a driver's license.</p> <p>13 Q. So if the state, whether it be through the 14 legislature, the agency makes it extremely difficult to 15 get a driver's license, that's not a burden, in your 16 view, because there are other IDs that can be obtained. 17 Do I have that right?</p> <p>18 MR. FREDERICK: Object to the extent it 19 misstates prior testimony. You can answer.</p> <p>20 A. I don't -- can you say that, can you repeat 21 your question?</p> <p>22 MR. DUNN: Sure. Would you mind reading 23 that one?</p> <p>24 (Requested portion read back by the court 25 reporter.)</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">258</p> <p>1 the election code did when we passed it.</p> <p>2 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) So it's your testimony then that 3 whatever burden, if there is one on Senate Bill 14, does 4 not add into other burdens if there are in state law to 5 the right to vote?</p> <p>6 MR. FREDERICK: Object to the extent it 7 mischaracterizes prior testimony, but you can answer.</p> <p>8 A. I don't know that I said there were other 9 burdens. So, I mean.</p> <p>10 Q. All right. What burdens are there, if any, to 11 the voting in Texas?</p> <p>12 A. I don't believe there are any burdens currently 13 to voting in Texas.</p> <p>14 Q. Are you familiar with new regulations adopted 15 by the Department of Public Safety relating to documents 16 required to be presented in order to get an ID or 17 driver's license?</p> <p>18 A. I have recently read news clips saying they did 19 that. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. These are new regulations that came out May the 21 1st; is that true?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know the date, but recent, yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Very recently?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. DPS also adopted some new regulations shortly</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">260</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: Object, again, as 2 vague. Object to the extent it mischaracterizes the 3 prior testimony. And object, it calls for speculation. 4 But you can answer.</p> <p>5 (Cell phone buzzes.)</p> <p>6 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Do you need to deal with that?</p> <p>7 A. I need to just turn it off.</p> <p>8 Q. I know you have twins. If you need to get it.</p> <p>9 A. No. My husband has them.</p> <p>10 I don't know that what we -- what DPS has 11 done is created a burden in terms of getting a driver's 12 license. And I believe that Senate Bill 14 provided 13 with other mechanisms if you didn't want to use a 14 driver's license as a photo ID to vote.</p> <p>15 Q. All right. Well, did you or anybody in your 16 office have any coordination with DPS and its adoption 17 of regulations relating to obtaining a driver's license 18 or ID?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Are you aware of any impediments to DPS through 21 its rule-making authority to create other requirements 22 for folks to bring in order to obtain one of these state 23 IDs?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. So then it would be true that even under the</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">261</p> <p>1 current sort of situation and regulation in which we're 2 judging Senate Bill 14, that could easily change should 3 DPS decide to alter its regulations?</p> <p>4 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for 5 speculation, but you can answer.</p> <p>6 A. I don't think I can answer the question. I 7 can't speculate what DPS will or won't do.</p> <p>8 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) I don't think I was asking you 9 to speculate.</p> <p>10 A. Okay.</p> <p>11 Q. I guess all I was asking is since DPS 12 regulations are as fluid as DPS wants to create rules, 13 you would agree with me the effect of Senate Bill 14 14 will change as those requirements change?</p> <p>15 A. I disagree because DPS has to operate within 16 statute, and if statute is clear, then DPS rules can't 17 go beyond that.</p> <p>18 Q. Was there anything in Senate Bill 14 that 19 authorized DPS to require documentation of 30-day 20 residency in order to get a voter ID or a driver's 21 license?</p> <p>22 A. No, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. So do you know what their statutory authority 24 was for doing that?</p> <p>25 A. No, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">263</p> <p>1 strike that.</p> <p>2 The 31 senators who are in the Senate are 3 the only people eligible to vote in the Senate. Seems 4 like a silly question, but that's true; is that right?</p> <p>5 A. That's true.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. So you either have to convince the 7 requisite number of those senators to support your 8 measure or you have to somehow change the electorate; is 9 that true?</p> <p>10 A. Change the electorate. I don't understand.</p> <p>11 Q. These 31 senators. You either got to convince 12 --</p> <p>13 A. Right.</p> <p>14 Q. -- two-thirds or a majority, whatever you 15 need --</p> <p>16 A. Right.</p> <p>17 Q. -- or you got to beat a senator or two in an 18 election?</p> <p>19 A. That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. As I understand earlier forms of photo ID, 21 because there wasn't the two-thirds vote in the Senate, 22 the maneuver then was to have the vote when one senator 23 who opposed the bill was missing; is that true?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And that happened on at least one occasion when</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">262</p> <p>1 Q. If there was statutory authority, it would have 2 had to come from someplace other than Senate Bill 14?</p> <p>3 A. That's correct.</p> <p>4 Q. All right. Now, I'd like to ask you a little 5 bit about the 21-vote rule. And I understand that you 6 say it's not a rule, so I'm not trying to put the word 7 "rule." How do you want to refer to it, the 21-vote 8 tradition?</p> <p>9 A. We can call it a rule if you'd prefer, if that 10 makes it easier for you.</p> <p>11 Q. All right. A bill or measure in the Senate in 12 order to pass out of the body under typical rules and 13 traditions requires 21 votes, if all 31 senators are 14 present?</p> <p>15 A. It requires -- yes, sir, if all 31 senators are 16 present. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. All right. And if there's some number below 31 18 senators, then two-thirds of the number who are present 19 is required, so long as there's a quorum; is that true?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. What measures can you recall in your 14 or so 22 years of experience have been passed out of the Senate 23 without complying with the two-thirds tradition?</p> <p>24 A. Besides photo ID, I don't know of any.</p> <p>25 Q. Now, you gave some testimony earlier -- well,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">264</p> <p>1 Senator Uresti was not around?</p> <p>2 A. In terms of voter ID, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And it was senators, I'm not asking you to 4 identify whom, but it was senators who came up with this 5 maneuver of trying to get a bill through when there 6 wasn't two-thirds of the -- when you didn't have a 7 senator present.</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on legislative 9 privilege. Instruct you not to answer it.</p> <p>10 MR. DUNN: Okay. And I'm not talking 11 about Senate Bill 14. I'm talking about process in 12 general in the Senate. So is it your -- is it your 13 instruction to her, she can't talk about the two-thirds 14 rule in general?</p> <p>15 MR. FREDERICK: No. That's not my 16 instruction to her.</p> <p>17 MR. DUNN: Oh, okay. All right. I just 18 didn't want to keep asking the same question.</p> <p>19 MR. FREDERICK: No, no, I hear you.</p> <p>20 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) All right. So -- let me just 21 start over.</p> <p>22 Your experience there in the Senate is 23 that senators occasionally will wait for an opponent 24 senator to not be in the chamber in order to push a 25 bill?</p>

JANICE MCCOY

MAY 16, 2012

<p style="text-align: center;">265</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. All right. And the hope then is to get the 3 bill passed and get two-thirds while this other senator 4 is out of the room?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. And sometimes it might take a couple of 7 senators out of the room; is that true?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. There's a tradition in the Senate, though, on 10 big and large and hot button measures that senators ask 11 the chair that a bill not come up when they have to be 12 away from the Senate; is that true?</p> <p>13 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, vague. You may 14 answer.</p> <p>15 A. It has been done, to my knowledge, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) And now here's where I'll get 17 his objection. All right.</p> <p>18 Do you know if Senator Uresti asked 19 whoever was in the Lieutenant Governor's chair, don't 20 bring up this bill while I'm out of the chamber?</p> <p>21 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on legislative 22 privilege, to the extent it calls for a confidential 23 communication from a legislator or staff, or -- yeah, 24 but to the extent it's in the public record or the 25 Senate, you may answer.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">267</p> <p>1 MR. FREDERICK: If you're asking her, in 2 general, is she aware of whether or not there's racially 3 polarized voting, that's different. If you're asking 4 her whether she's performed research in her role as the 5 senate staffer, that I would object to.</p> <p>6 MR. DUNN: I see.</p> <p>7 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Are you aware of whether or not 8 there's racially polarized voting in Texas?</p> <p>9 A. I am not aware.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you know what racially polarized voting is?</p> <p>11 A. Not necessarily.</p> <p>12 Q. Have you ever worked on campaign staff?</p> <p>13 A. No. I'm sorry. When I first got out of 14 college, I worked for a political consultant, but we had 15 several campaigns, and I never actually staffed a 16 campaign.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you play any role in Senator Fraser's 18 reelection campaign?</p> <p>19 A. I do Senator Fraser's campaign books. Senator 20 Fraser hasn't had a campaign for several years. So I 21 have helped him develop press releases saying he's 22 running for reelection, but that's the extent of it.</p> <p>23 Q. All right. When you say his books, are you 24 talking about the Ethics Commission reports?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">266</p> <p>1 A. I don't know Senator Uresti's discussions with 2 Governor Dewhurst's office.</p> <p>3 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Now, senators who have it in 4 their intent when they pass a bill, to try to get the 5 bill passed without another member present, that senator 6 could also have the intent to conduct elections in Texas 7 where certain members can't participate?</p> <p>8 MR. FREDERICK: Objection, calls for 9 speculation.</p> <p>10 A. I disagree.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Have you ever done any research 12 or been presented any data as to whether or not there's 13 racially polarized voting in Texas?</p> <p>14 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object, legislative 15 privilege, to the extent that you have performed any 16 such research in connection with pending legislation. 17 I'm instructing you not to answer on the basis of 18 legislative privilege.</p> <p>19 A. I'll assert privilege.</p> <p>20 MR. DUNN: So even sitting -- not talking 21 about Senate Bill 14, just in general, are you familiar 22 with whether or not there's racially polarized voting in 23 Texas, you're instructing her not to answer on that?</p> <p>24 MR. FREDERICK: No.</p> <p>25 MR. DUNN: Okay.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">268</p> <p>1 Q. All right. But you don't actually direct 2 political strategy for him?</p> <p>3 A. No, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you do that for any other candidate?</p> <p>5 A. No, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you have any involvement in redistricting 7 this last election cycle?</p> <p>8 A. No, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you make any recommendations to 10 redistricting members or their staff as it related to 11 the makeup or the shape of Senator Fraser's district?</p> <p>12 MR. FREDERICK: I'll object on privilege, 13 only to the extent that your answer would reveal 14 confidential communications or thought processes, the 15 fact of whether or not you did. You may answer.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Who was it that you spoke with 18 about Senator Fraser's district?</p> <p>19 A. Senator Seliger.</p> <p>20 Q. He was the chairman of the redistricting effort 21 in the Senate; is that true?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Anyone else?</p> <p>24 A. His asides, probably other staff, legislative 25 staff, other senators, members, staffs.</p>